

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.usptc.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/205,297	12/04/1998	GEORGE N. VALKANAS	2577-106P	7933
2292 7	590 07/21/2003			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	.CH, VA 22040-0747		CINTINS, IVARS C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	27

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<b></b>	A5-			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/205,297	VALKANAS ET AL.				
, and the state of	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ivars C. Cintins	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	-			
THE REPLY FILED 26 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	o avoid abandonment of the c: (1) a timely filed amendr opeal (with appeal fee); or (	is application. A proper reply to nent which places the application	ı in			
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex-	Advisory Action, or (2) the date seter than SIX MONTHS from the main AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHE date on which the petition under a dension and the corresponding am	ling date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPI TOFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension of the fee. The appropriate extension	EP sion fee fee under			
<ul> <li>37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella</li> </ul>	e months after the mailing date of the	ne final rejection, even if timely filed, may re				
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 (						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	te below);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application</li><li>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	on in better form for appea	by materially reducing or simplif	fying the			
(d) they present additional claims without can NOTE:	celing a corresponding nui	nber of finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		ed in a separate, timely filed ame	ndment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NOT pla	ice the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed \$	SOLELY to issues which were nev	wly			
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>48</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 27 and 29-35						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>36-47</u> .						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.				
9. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
10. Other: See attached supplement						

lication/Control Number: 09/205,297

Art Unit: 1724

## SUPPLEMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION

The proposed amendment filed June 26, 2003 will be entered because it is agreed that the crosslinking agent in the Table at the top of page 4 of the specification is DCMDMB, and not DMDMB as written. Applicant should, however, further label this table as "Table 1" for consistency. See page 4, four lines from the bottom, of the amendment filed March 30, 2000. Also, Applicant must address the objection to the disclosure, contained in the first paragraph on page 2 of the Final Rejection dated April 8, 2003.

Claims 27 and 29-35 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. As pointed out in the Final Rejection dated April 8, 2003, the limitation that the product has a porosity of 0.279-0.477 cm<sup>3</sup>/g (claim 27, last line) does not appear to be supported by the disclosure originally filed, and hence constitutes **new matter**.

Applicant's arguments filed June 26, 2003 have been noted and carefully considered, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant argues that the above noted porosity range is supported by the statement on page 3, lines 27-31 of the specification that "... the porosity is studied which was found to be low up to 4% of cross-linking agent and then to increase." It is pointed out, however, that while this statement is certainly true since 0.319 cm³/g at 16% crosslinking and 0.477 cm³/g at 32 % crosslinking represent an increase in porosity over 0.279 cm³/g at 4 % crosslinking, there is no evidence that this increase in porosity will be linear, particularly since the porosity of the polymer decreases when the crosslinking percentage

Art Unit: 1724

increases from 1% to either 2% or 4% (see lines 2-4 of Table 1). Accordingly, the disclosure originally filed does not appear to support each and every porosity value contained within the range (i.e. "0.279-0.477 cm<sup>3</sup>/g") now recited in the last line of claim 27; and therefore, this newly recited range is deemed to constitute **new matter**.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is (703) 308-3840. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Blaine Copenheaver, can be reached at (703) 308-1261.

The fax phone numbers for this art unit are: (703) 872-9311 for "Official" faxes after Final Rejection; (703) 872-9310 for all other "Official" faxes; and (703) 872-9492 for "Draft" and other "Unofficial" faxes.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins July 20, 2003